## PENDLETON ZBA AGENDA MONDAY, 08/22/16 7:00 PM

- 1. Public Hearings
  - a. Zeiss, Carlton 7225 Creekbend Drive. Owner wishes to construct an accessory structure at the rear of his pie-shaped property at side yard setbacks of 5 ft. from each side property line where 15 ft. minimum setback is required per Town Code §247-34.F(2)(b). Area variance sought is 10 ft. side yard setback variance. Property is 0.6 acres and zoned R2 Medium-density Residential.
- 2. Open regular meeting of ZBA
- 3. Changes to agenda
- 4. New Inquires to ZBA
- 5. Review minutes from prior meeting
  - a. Minutes 07/25/16 http://pendletonny.us/wp-content/uploads/2010/11/ZBA-July-2016.pdf
- 6. Deliberation on Hearing
  - a. Richards, Tara 5812 Fisk Rd (14094). Owner wishes to construct an above ground pool at 11 ft. side setback from the east property line where 15 ft. minimum is required per Town Code §222-2.C. Area variance sought is 4 ft. side yard setback variance. Property is 0.88 acres and zoned R2 Medium-density Residential.
  - b. Zeiss
- 7. Correspondence
  - a. TBD based on inbox at Town Hall
- 8. Special Topics
- 9. Miscellaneous ZBA items:
  - a. Attendance: next meeting TUESDAY 27 September 2016 7:00 PM
  - b. Comments: ZBA members, Legal
- 10. Adjourn regular meeting

## **Area Variance Considerations**

- 1. Benefit to applicant vs Detriment to community
- 2. Mandatory considerations:
  - a. Will the granting of the variance result in an undesirable change to the character of the neighborhood or will it be detrimental to nearby properties
  - b. Are there alternative solutions that would not require a variance
  - c. Is the requested variance substantial
  - d. Will the variance have an adverse effect on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district
  - e. Is the applicant's difficulty self-created

## **Use Variance Requirements**

No such use variance shall be granted by a board of appeals without a showing by the applicant that applicable zoning regulations and restrictions have caused unnecessary hardship. In order to prove such unnecessary hardship the applicant shall demonstrate to the board of appeals that for each and every permitted use under the zoning regulations for the particular district where the property is located,

- (1) the applicant cannot realize a reasonable return, provided that lack of return is substantial as demonstrated by competent financial evidence;
- (2) that the alleged hardship relating to the property in question is unique, and does not apply to a substantial portion of the district or neighborhood;
- (3) that the requested use variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood; and
- (4) that the alleged hardship has not been self-created.