

**PENDLETON ZBA AGENDA
TUESDAY, 02/26/19 7:00 PM**

1. Public Hearings
 - a. **Miller, Brian – 5874 Fisk Rd. (Continued from 12/18/18)** Owner wishes to construct 1,920 sq. ft. accessory structure (32 ft. x 60 ft.) where there is an existing 1,040 sq. ft. (26 ft. x 40 ft.) structure for a total aggregate accessory structure size of 2,960 sq. ft. Maximum allowed per Town Code §247-13.E(2) is 1200 sq. ft. Area variance is sought for aggregate accessory structure size variance of 1,760 sq. ft. Property is 6.98 acres and zoned R-2 Medium Residential.
2. Open regular meeting of ZBA
3. Changes to agenda
4. New Inquires to ZBA
5. Review minutes from prior meeting(s)
 - a. 22 January 2019 – See website www.Pendletonny.us
6. Deliberation on Hearing(s)
 - a. Miller
7. Correspondence. TBD – based on inbox at Town Hall
8. Special Topics – none
9. Miscellaneous ZBA items:
 - a. Attendance: next meeting
 - i. 26 March 2019 7:00 PM
 - b. Comments: ZBA members, Legal
10. Adjourn regular meeting

Area Variance Considerations

1. Benefit to applicant vs Detriment to community
2. Mandatory considerations:
 - a. Will the granting of the variance result in an undesirable change to the character of the neighborhood or will it be detrimental to nearby properties
 - b. Are there alternative solutions that would not require a variance
 - c. Is the requested variance substantial
 - d. Will the variance have an adverse effect on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district
 - e. Is the applicant's difficulty self-created

Use Variance Requirements

No such use variance shall be granted by a board of appeals without a showing by the applicant that applicable zoning regulations and restrictions have caused unnecessary hardship. In order to prove such unnecessary hardship the applicant shall demonstrate to the board of appeals that for each and every permitted use under the zoning regulations for the particular district where the property is located,

- (1) the applicant cannot realize a reasonable return, provided that lack of return is substantial as demonstrated by competent financial evidence;
- (2) that the alleged hardship relating to the property in question is unique, and does not apply to a substantial portion of the district or neighborhood;
- (3) that the requested use variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood; and
- (4) that the alleged hardship has not been self-created.