PENDLETON ZBA AGENDA TUESDAY, 11/26/19 7:00 PM

- 1. Public Hearings
 - a. Chatt, Michael and Christine 4212 Mapleton Rd. Owner wishes to construct a 9 ft. x 14 ft. master bathroom addition to an existing home creating a side-yard setback of 11 ft. where 15 ft. minimum is required per Town Code §247-11E. Area variance requested is 4 ft. side-yard setback variance. Property is 162 ft. x 788 ft. and zoned R-2 Medium-density Residential.
 - b. On hold: Cappello, Ronald (Gasper Fulfaro, Agent) 5532 Killian Rd. Continuation of public hearing on hold per request of applicant.
- 2. Open regular meeting of ZBA
- 3. Changes to agenda
- 4. New Inquires to ZBA
- 5. Review minutes from prior meeting(s)
 - a. 22 October 2019 https://pendletonny.us/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/134-ZBA-October-2019-Meeting-Minutes.pdf
- 6. Deliberation on Hearing(s)
 - a. Chatt
- 7. Correspondence.
 - a. TBD based on inbox at Town Hall
- 8. Special Topics
- 9. Miscellaneous ZBA items:
 - a. Attendance: next meeting
 - i. 17 December 2019 7:00 PM (note: third Tuesday)
 - b. Comments: ZBA members, Legal
- 10. Adjourn regular meeting

Area Variance Considerations

- 1. Benefit to applicant vs Detriment to community
- 2. Mandatory considerations:
 - a. Will the granting of the variance result in an undesirable change to the character of the neighborhood or will it be detrimental to nearby properties
 - b. Are there alternative solutions that would not require a variance
 - c. Is the requested variance substantial
 - d. Will the variance have an adverse effect on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district
 - e. Is the applicant's difficulty self-created

Use Variance Requirements

No such use variance shall be granted by a board of appeals without a showing by the applicant that applicable zoning regulations and restrictions have caused unnecessary hardship. In order to prove such unnecessary hardship the applicant shall demonstrate to the board of appeals that for each and every permitted use under the zoning regulations for the particular district where the property is located,

- (1) the applicant cannot realize a reasonable return, provided that lack of return is substantial as demonstrated by competent financial evidence;
- (2) that the alleged hardship relating to the property in question is unique, and does not apply to a substantial portion of the district or neighborhood;
- (3) that the requested use variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood; and
- (4) that the alleged hardship has not been self-created.